



Anti-Money Laundering

December 27, 2024

Déjà Vu All Over Again: Fifth Circuit Vacates Its Own Stay; CTA Compliance Is Now Optional Once Again (for Now)

By Robert A. Johnston Jr., Melissa L. Wiley, Paula A. Ladd, and Samantha Sigelakis-Minski

In a surprise ruling, yesterday the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals vacated its own stay of the Eastern District of Texas' Dec. 3 nationwide preliminary injunction enjoining enforcement of the Corporate Transparency Act (CTA).¹ On Dec. 23, that Dec. 3 preliminary injunction was stayed by a unanimous motions panel of the Fifth Circuit, which also ordered an expedited appeal to the next available oral argument panel.² Subsequently, the matter was transferred to the merits panel of the Fifth Circuit, which decided to vacate the stay of the preliminary injunction "in order to preserve the constitutional status quo while the merits panel considers the parties' weighty substantive arguments."³

We anticipate that FinCEN soon will update its CTA website⁴ to advise that the compliance with the CTA's reporting requirements is once again optional and that the revised compliance deadlines are no longer in effect.

Lowenstein Sandler continues to monitor the legal landscape surrounding the CTA. For more information on the CTA and assistance with regulatory compliance, please contact the authors of this article or LSAMLCTA@lowenstein.com.

Contacts

Please contact the listed attorneys for further information on the matters discussed herein.

ROBERT A. JOHNSTON JR.

Partner

T: 212.419.5898 / 202.549.5948

rjohnston@lowenstein.com

PAULA LADD Senior Counsel T: 646.414.6975 pladd@lowenstein.com MELISSA L. WILEY

Partner

T: 202.753.3790 mwilev@lowenstein.com

SAMANTHA SIGELAKIS-MINSKI

Associate

T: 646.414.6934

ssigelakisminski@lowenstein.com

NEW YORK PALO ALTO NEW JERSEY UTAH WASHINGTON, D.C.

This Alert has been prepared by Lowenstein Sandler LLP to provide information on recent legal developments of interest to our readers. It is not intended to provide legal advice for a specific situation or to create an attorney-client relationship. Lowenstein Sandler assumes no responsibility to update the Alert based upon events subsequent to the date of its publication, such as new legislation, regulations and judicial decisions. You should consult with counsel to determine applicable legal requirements in a specific fact situation. Attorney Advertising.

¹ Texas Top Cop Shop, Inc. v. Garland, No. 24-40792, Dkt. No. 160 (5th Cir. Dec. 26, 2024), unpublished order.

² Texas Top Cop Shop, Inc. v. Garland, No. 24-40792, Dkt. No. 140 (5th Cir. Dec. 23, 2024), unpublished order. See the original case and resulting injunction at Texas Top Cop Shop, Inc. v. Garland, 4:24-cv-00478 (ALM), Dkt. No. 30 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 3, 2024). See also our December 24 client alert.

³ Texas Top Cop Shop, Inc. v. Garland, No. 24-40792, Dkt. No. 160 (5th Cir. Dec. 26, 2024), unpublished order.

⁴ https://www.fincen.gov/boi.